

24.07.2026

Serial no. 50

BP

Court No.9

***IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI***

CRR 337 of 2024

In the matter of : Sri Riten Basak

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Pritam Roy
Ms. Payel Nandi

... ..For the Petitioner

Mr. Gobinda Ghosh
Mr. Debabrata Rai

... .. For the State

1. The petitioner and the State are represented.
2. None appears on behalf of the private opposite party.
3. By filing the instant revisional application, the proceedings in respect of first information report filed on behalf of the private opposite party is sought to be quashed.
4. None has appeared on behalf of the private opposite party.
5. It is submitted by the learned advocate for the petitioner that he has tried to serve upon the private opposite party a number of times but service could not be effected.
6. From the affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner it transpires that the notice addressed to the private opposite party was kept on hold by the postal authorities with a remark that addressee was found absent on March 23, 2026.

7. In such circumstances, State will serve a copy of the revisional application upon the private opposite party.
8. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner is requested to make over appropriate number of copies for such service.
9. State shall file service report on the date fixed.
10. List the matter before the next available circuit.

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)