

07.11.2025
Ct. 3
Item No.
JPG 4
Saswata

**HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

**CPAN 55 of 2025
in
WPA 1590 of 2025
Sirajul Miya
Versus
Aswini Devsingha**

Mr. Ankur Barman
Ms. Ankita Barman

... For the petitioner

1. The petitioner complains of non compliance of the order dated 11th August 2025 whereby this Court had directed the concerned Pradhan being the respondent no. 3 to decide the petitioner's representation. The petitioner would complain that despite communication of such order vide notice dated 13th August 2025, no steps have been taken by the Pradhan to comply with the direction contained in the order dated 11th August 2025.

2. When the matter came up for consideration on 24th September 2025, this Court had directed the petitioner to serve a copy of the contempt application on the alleged contemnor and the matter had been made returnable today.

3. Mr. Barman, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner by placing before this Court an affidavit of service which is filed in Court today

and is taken on record would submit that the petitioner had, pursuant to the aforesaid order, intimated the alleged contemnor. Service of notice dated 13th October 2025 on the alleged contemnor would corroborate from the track report annexed to the said affidavit of service.

4. Today, at the time of call the alleged contemnor is not represented.

5. Having regard thereto and noting from the statements made by the petitioner that the order dated 11th August 2025 has not been complied with by failing to take steps to decide on the petitioner's representation by passing a reasoned order, I am of the view that a rule of contempt be issued on the alleged contemnor.

6. The rule is made returnable on 12th December 2025.

Rule drawn in
a separate sheet

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)