

10.06.2026
Sl. No.34
Ct. No.6
gd

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

**WPA/1738/2025
AKSHARA VANIJYA PRIVATE LIMITED AND
ORS.
VS
STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS.**

Mr. Bikramaditya Ghosh
Mr. Abhishek Sarkar
Ms. Debapriya Ghosh

...for the Petitioners.

Mr. Kunaljit Bhattacharya, ld. AGP
Ms. Esha Acharya

...for the State.

Mr. Sudip Paul

...for the Union of India.

1. Affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the respondent nos.3 to 5 is taken on record.
2. Learned advocate for the petitioners seeks extension of time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Let such affidavit-in-reply be filed within a period of two weeks.
4. Mr. Kunaljit Bhattacharya, learned Additional Government Pleader submits that the writ petition is not maintainable since four companies have been arrayed as petitioners without there being respective Board resolutions authorizing the deponent to file such writ petition. He also indicates

that the petitioners have not paid proper court fees. He seeks to file affidavit-in-opposition.

5. Learned advocate for the petitioners undertakes to deposit *ad valorem* court fees, if not already deposited.
6. Let such affidavit-in- opposition be filed by the State respondents within a period of three weeks; reply, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.
7. The point of maintainability as raised on behalf of the State respondents is kept open and shall be decided at the time of final disposal of the writ petition.
8. Liberty is granted to the parties to mention after expiry of the aforementioned period.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)