

**11.7.2025**  
Sl. No.4  
Court no.IV  
**nb**

**CIRCUIT BENCH OF CALCUTTA HIGH COURT  
AT JALPAIGURI**

**WPA 1327 of 2025**

**WPA 1327 of 2025**

**Samir Kumar Dey  
Vs.  
The Union of India & Ors.**

Mr. Himangshu Kumar Ray,  
Ms. Matan Chakraborty,  
.... for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajoy Kumar Singhania,  
.... for Income Tax Authority.

Mr. Ray, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner seeks leave to use supplementary affidavit thereby explaining the delay occasioned by the petitioner in approaching this Court by way of the instant writ petition. Such leave is granted. Let the said supplementary affidavit be taken on record. A copy of the same has been served upon Mr. Singhania, learned advocate appearing for the respondent.

Mr. Singhania, seeks time to use affidavit-in-opposition to the writ petition and the supplementary affidavit.

Having heard learned counsel appearing for the respective parties and considered the material on record, this Court of the view that opportunity should

be granted to the respondent to file affidavit-in-opposition to the writ petition and the supplementary affidavit. Let affidavit-in-opposition to the writ petition and the supplementary affidavit be filed within two weeks from date. Affidavit-in-reply, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List the matter for further consideration before the next available Circuit Bench after expiry of the time fixed for exchange affidavits.

**(Om Narayan Rai, J.)**