

30.07.2024
Sl. No.13
Court No.3
KS

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

**C.R.R. 198 of 2024
With
IA No. CRAN 2 of 2024**

**Vinay Kumar @ Binay Kumar
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Anr.**

Mr. Ayan Bhattacharya
Mr. Sumit Biswas
Mr. Shaswat Nayak
Mr. Rajashree Bhowmik

.....For the Petitioner

Mr. Bikramaditya Ghosh
Mr. Soumyajyoti Dutta
Mr. A. Sharma

.....For the Private Opposite Party

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty, Ld. A.P.P.
Mr. Subhasish Mishra

.....For the State

In Re.: IA No. CRAN 2 of 2024

Heard learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the instant application being, IA No. CRAN 2 of 2024 has been filed for extension of interim order earlier passed by this Court on 18th June, 2024.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that unless interim order is extended, the very purpose of this revisional application shall be frustrated.

Learned advocates appearing on behalf of the State as well as private opposite party are present and raised objection.

Considering the earlier order passed on 18th June, 2024, the interim order earlier promulgated by this Court in this case be

extended for a period of eight weeks from date or until further order, whichever is earlier. The prayer for extension stands allowed.

Accordingly, IA No. CRAN 2 of 2024 stands disposed of.

Learned advocate on behalf of the opposite party has prayed for liberty to file affidavit-in-opposition to the revisional application. Such prayer is allowed.

Liberty is given to the private opposite party to file affidavit-in-opposition to the instant revisional application within four weeks from date, reply thereto, if any, be filed one week thereafter.

Let the matter appear before the next available Circuit Bench.

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)