

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
APPELLATE SIDE**

CRM (M) 191 of 2026

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023

- And -

In the matter of: - **Akash Barman**

.....petitioner.

Mr. Chandan Roy,
Mr. Abdul Habib

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Barman,
Ms. Ankita Barman

...for the defacto complainant.

Mr. Avrojoyti Das, ld. APP.,
Mr. Gobinda Ghosh,
Mr. Debabrata Rai

....for the State.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there was a romantic relationship between the concerned parties. The victim refused medical examination. The petitioner is in custody for more than one year two months. There is no chance of conclusion of trial at an early date and as such he may be enlarged on bail on any condition.
2. The learned counsel for the defacto complainant and the learned Counsel for the State oppose the prayer for bail. According to them, there are incriminating evidence against the petitioner. Although there was no medical examination report, the victim became pregnant at the instance of the present petitioner.

3. I have gone through the materials on record including the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. It appears that the victim was a minor at the relevant point of time and she did not support the contention of the defence. She did not whisper that there was any romantic relationship between them. In view of such materials on record, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail.
4. The application being CRM(M) 191 of 2026 is dismissed.
5. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)