

Item 27.03.2026  
No. 03,  
04 &  
05

Ct  
04

RUP

**IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH CALCUTTA  
HIGH COURT  
AT JALPAIGURI  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION**

**WPA NO. 223 of 2021**

**Chranjit Das & ors.**

**Vs**

**State of West Bengal & Ors.**

**With**

**WPA NO. 1242 of 2022  
Subham Chakrabarty & ors.**

**Vs.**

**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

**With**

**WPA NO. 2399 of 2023  
Sourav Biswas**

**Vs.**

**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Arijit Ghosh,  
Ms. Angina Rakshit,  
Ms. Avishikta Das  
... For the petitioner in WPA 223 of 2021.

Mr. Arjun Chowhdury,  
Ms. Sunayana Parveen,  
Mr. M Mandal.  
.... For the petitioners in WPA 1242 of 2022.

Mr. Arnab Saha (VC)  
... for the Petitioners in WPA 223 of  
2021 and WPA 2399 of 2023.

Mr. Hirak Barman,  
Ms. Bedashruti Bose. ... for the State

These writ petitions being WPA 223 of 2021,  
WPA 1242 of 2022 and WPA 2399 of 2023 are  
taken up for hearing.

These writ petitions are being heard  
analogously. In WPA 1242 of 2022 it appears

that the petitioners had prayed for mandamus directing the respondent authorities to start the training of the petitioners who have been selected for the post of Auxiliary Fire Operator on contractual basis of Alipurduar district under West Bengal Fire & Emergency Services forthwith. By the order dated 10.08.2024 the writ application was disposed of by the Learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court by directing the Director General of West Bengal Fire & Emergency Services to start the training within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of this order. An appeal was preferred against the said order being MAT 74 of 2022 where the Hon'ble Division Bench was pleased to dispose of the order by setting aside the order dated August 20 of 2022 passed in WPA 1242 of 2022. The matter was remitted back to this Court for reconsideration of the said writ application.

Mr. Dr. Arjun Chowdhury submits the pursuant to the order passed by the Learned Co-ordinate Bench in WPA 1242 of 2022 his client has already undergone training process and the same is admitted by the State respondent in their affidavit.

However, liberty is also granted to the writ

petitioners to file supplementary affidavit, in the event there is any further development in the meantime with regard to the completion of training.

Although the learned advocate representing State respondents submits that the writ petition being No. 223 of 2021 has become infructuous but the learned advocate is to justify as to why the said application has become infructuous. In the event affidavit-in-reply is not filed in respect of affidavit-in-opposition the said affidavit-in-reply may be filed on the said date.

The matters be placed on the reopening date of the next circuit subject to the conveyance of the Learned Judge.

***(Biswaroop Chowdhury J.)***