

21.05.2026
Sl. 10
Court No. 5
sourav
(Rejected)

**CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

C.R.M. (A) 290 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Mathabhanga** Police Station Case No. **212 of 2026** dated **01.04.2026** under Sections **126(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109/351/3(5)** of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Bhabotosh Barman**

....petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Barman

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty, Ld. APP-in-Charge

... for the State.

1. The petitioner has filed the present application for anticipatory bail in connection with Mathabhanga Police Station Case No. 212 of 2026 dated 01.04.2026 under Sections 126(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109/351/3(5) of BNS, 2023.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the petitioner is no way connected in the present case. Immediately, when the petitioner came to know about the initiation of the instant case, the petitioner has filed the application for grant of anticipatory bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if the anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will appear before the Investigating Officer and will co-operate with the investigation.
3. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor-in-Charge along with

the I.O. is present and produced the case diary.

4. Perused the injury report. It is found that though the injury is simple in nature but the victim has sustained injury on the right side of the face, nose, skin and scalp.
5. This Court finds that the investigation is going on and to ascertain with regard to the use of weapon by the petitioner, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.
6. Accordingly, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail.
7. **C.R.M. (A) 290 of 2026** is dismissed.
8. C.D. is returned.

(Krishna Rao, J.)

