

10
20.04.2026
S.Bag
Ct 6

CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA/549/2026

HEAD CONSTABLE KHANSARE MANGALSINGH SHAMLAL
VS
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

Mr. Kunaljit Bhattacharjee, Adv.
Mr. Alok Sah, Adv.
Ms. Rajashree Mukherjee, Adv.
...for the petitioner

Mr. Ajoy Kumar Singhania, Adv.
...for the respondents

1. Affidavit of Service filed on behalf of the petitioner be kept with the records.
2. The grievance of the petitioner is directed against the non-consideration of the petitioner's request for Leave Travel Concession (LTC) insofar as his family is concerned.
3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the respondent authorities have erroneously excluded the children of the petitioner from availing the benefit of LTC.
4. On behalf of the respondent authorities, it is submitted that by a communication dated 16th December, 2025, the respondent authorities have duly informed the petitioner that the details of his dependent son and daughter are not available from the service records. In such

circumstances, the petitioner should seek for inclusion of the names of his dependant son and daughter from the Commandant, 156 Battalion, Border Security Force where the petitioner is now serving.

5. On behalf of the respondent in reply, it is submitted that there are two applications dated 24th August, 2023 and 21st November, 2023 respectively being Annexure P4 and P5, which are pending before the Appropriate Authority.
6. In view of the above, WPA/549/2026 stands disposed of by directing the Appropriate Authority being the respondent no. 4 to consider the above two applications within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.
7. It is made clear that there has been no expression on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities are to act directly in accordance with law.
8. With the above directions, WPA/549/2026 stands disposed of.

(RAVI KRISHAN KAPUR, J.)