

24.01.2024
sayandeep
Sl. No. 03
Ct. No. 02

HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri

WPA 807 of 2022

Swetna Rai
-Versus-
The Principal Secretary & ors.

Mr. Pratap Khati
Mr. Sushanta Raj
.... for the petitioner
Mr. Subir Kumar Saha
Mr. Momenur Rahaman
.... For the State
Ms. Supriya Singh
Mr. Ranjan Raj
..... for the GTA
Mr. Nabankur Paul
.... For the respondent No. 4

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that respondent No. 4 appointed 5th respondent without following the Rules and Regulation of Central School Service Commission and also the order of the G.T.A.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 4 submits that the appointment was made by the School on voluntary basis and the salary is borne by the School and they are not getting any aid from the Government authorities in this regard.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 4 further submits that it is only recognized by the

Government and they are not getting any aid from the Government authorities.

Mr. Subir Kumar Saha, learned counsel appearing for the State submits that when appointments are made on *ad hoc* basis, permission is not necessary from the Government and the school authorities can make their own appointment and pay the salary from their funds without using State exchequer.

To that effect, he requested time to take instructions from the authorities and have the matter in the next Circuit Bench. At his request, post the matter in the next Circuit Bench.

Post the matter on 14th February, 2024.

(V.M. Velumani, J.)