

2
20.04.2026
S.Bag
Ct 6

CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA/500/2026

TINA GAGULY@TINA SARKAR
VS
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS

Mr. Subhankar Dutta, Adv.

... For the petitioner.

Mr. Subir Kumar Saha, AGP
Mr. Anirban Banerjee, Adv.

.... For the State.

Mr. Sourab Kar, Adv.

...for the respondent no. 2

Mr. Pretom Das, Adv.

Mr. Sandip Guha Ray, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Sen, Adv.

... For the respondent nos.4 & 5.

1. The grievance of the petitioner is directed against the inaction of the respondent college in not considering the representation for compassionate appointment.
2. Briefly, the husband of the petitioner had expired on 26th September, 2022. After his death, the petitioner had applied for compassionate appointment.
3. It is submitted on behalf of the respondent College that the Appropriate Authority to decide the question of compassionate

appointment is the Director of Public Instruction who has not been impleaded as a party. The respondent no. 2 being the office of the Principal Account General (A&E) is also represented and submits that has been wrongly impleaded and it is the Director of Public Instruction, State of West Bengal who is the Appropriate Authority to consider the request of the petitioner.

4. In view of the above, WPA/500/2026 stands disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate application to the Director of Public Instruction, State of West Bengal.
5. It is made clear that there has been no adjudication on the merits of the case and all questions are left open for the Appropriate Authority to decide in case any such application is filed. With the above directions, WPA/500/2026 stands disposed of.

(RAVI KRISHAN KAPUR, J.)