

AD 23  
March 24, 2026  
Ct. 6  
SG

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri  
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

CRM(A) 200 of 2026

Reject

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Falakata P.S. Case No.110 of 2026 dated 15.02.2026 under Sections 316(2)/318(4)/61(2) of the BNS.

And

In the matter of: *Joydeb Sarkar*

*... petitioner*

Mr. B. Roy

*... for the petitioner*

Mr. K. Acharjee  
Mr. Chattu Roy

*... for the State*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been arraigned with provisions having maximum punishment of upto 7 years. Yet, no notice was issued in terms of Section 35(3) of the BNSS.

Learned counsel for the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the main allegation is that the petitioner had started a company and had taken money from unsuspecting victims for opening FMCG accounts so as to deliver some goods. After taking such money, no such goods were delivered. There would be several victims of this fraud as would evident from the statements of the de facto complainant and other victims as recorded by the police. He relies on the statements of witnesses and the copies of documents regarding transfer of money.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Personal appearance of the investigating officer is noted and is dispensed with.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**