

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

20.03.2026  
Sl. No.14  
tkm

**W. P. A. 456 of 2026**

*[Srijan Kumar Shome Roy @ Srijan Kr. Shomeroy -Vs- State of West Bengal & Ors.]*

Ms. Esha Acharya  
... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Hirak Barman  
Mr. Sourav Sarkar  
... for the State

Mr. Bikramaditya Ghosh  
... for the primary Board

Mr. Sourav Ganguly  
Ms. Rishita Chakraborty  
... for respondent nos. 6 & 7

1. The affidavit of service is taken on record.
2. The petitioner has preferred the present petition alleging inaction on the part of the respondent authorities in not considering the petitioner's application dated 12.9.2025 seeking transfer from Sebagram RR Primary School under Sadar East Circle to any other school close to his residence.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as an Assistant Teacher in Tarapara Madan Mohan Primary School, under Sadar North Circle, vide appointment letter dated 23.02.2010. The petitioner joined the said school on 11.03.2010. Thereafter the petitioner was

transferred to the Child Welfare Home Primary School under the Jalpaiguri East Circle and the same was communicated to the petitioner through the Memo No. 273/1(5) dated 02.02.2019. The petitioner joined the said school on 05.02.2019. The said school is situated within a campus of female child home and posting of male teacher in that school is prohibited. It is further contended that on 08.03.2019 the petitioner was temporarily transferred to Sebagram R.R. Primary School under Sadar East Circle. The petitioner joined the said school on 09.03.2019. It is further submitted that the present school of the petitioner has a student count of approximately 63 students and the teachers are a total of eight excluding the petitioner. In this regard it is categorically stated that the petitioner is at present a surplus teacher in the said school and as such there will be no problem with regard to pupil teacher ratio if the petitioner is transferred to any other school.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent submits the competent authority has no objection if the representation submitted by the

petitioner is considered and decided in accordance with law.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall be satisfied if the said representation is considered and decided within a time bound manner.
6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties and without entering into the merit of the claim of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of by directing the competent authority to consider the petitioner's representation dated 12.9.2025 in accordance with law and the relevant rules by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.
7. It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's claim and all issues are kept open to be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law.
8. With the above directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Gaurang Kanth, J.)**