

27.03.2026

Serial no. 8

[Dd]

***IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI***

CRM(NDPS)/189/2026

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Mathabhanga** Police Station Case No. **168** of **2025** dated **08.03.2025** under Sections **20(b)(ii)(c)/25/29** of the NDPS Act, 1985.

-And-

**In the matter of : SUBHASH SARKAR@SUBHASH
SARKARPetitioner**

*Mr. Sandip Guha Roy, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioner*

*Mr. Joyjit Chowdhury, AAG
Mr. Abhijit Sarkar, Advocate
Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee, Advocate
Mr. Saikat Chatterjee, Advocate
... ..For the State*

1. Yesterday, in this bail application, assistance of the learned Additional Advocate General was taken. His name appeared in the order sheet as appearing for the State. He submits today, his name may be deleted as appearing for the State since he assisted Court on the request of the Court.
2. Learned Additional Advocate General submits that today he is appearing for the State and the same may be recorded. In such circumstances, the name of the Additional Advocate General appearing as an advocate for the State on March 25, 2026 be recorded. On such date, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appeared.
3. Court is informed that brief was allotted to Mr. Abhijit Sarkar and Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee, learned advocates for the State.
4. Learned Additional Advocate General submits that he is taking assistance of Mr. Saikat Chatterjee, learned

Advocate as Mr. Saikat Chatterjee, learned advocate appears for the State in the earlier bail petition for the co-accused.

5. Yesterday, when the matter was taken up, a report was submitted by the jurisdictional Superintendent of Police, which contained an annexure, being Annexure-J dated March 8, 2025. Such annexure is a compliance under Section 42(2) of the NDPS Act, 1985.
6. Today, attention of the Court is drawn to the deposition of the same Sub-Inspector of Police who in cross-examination, stated that, he did not send any special report under Section 42 of the Act of 1985.
7. Learned Additional Advocate General submits that jurisdictional Superintendent of Police be directed to be personally present at 2 P.M. to explain such fact.
8. Acceding to his request, the jurisdictional Superintendent of Police is requested to be personally present today at 2 P.M.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)