

March 11, 2025  
AD 67  
Ct. 2  
SG

CALCUTTA HIGH COURT  
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI

WPA 301 of 2023

*Suman Rava*  
*vs.*  
*The State of West Bengal and others*

Ms. Suman Sehanabis (Mandal)  
Ms. Anwasha Chakraborty  
... for the petitioner

Mr. Hirak Barman  
... for the State

Mr. Pretom Das  
... for the Zilla Parishad

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Among other things, she relies on a note sheet of the Zilla Parishad which clearly indicates that the petitioner was appearing for the post of LDC as a departmental candidate and was seeking no objection certificate for such purpose.

It is the further contention of the petitioner that further police verification was not asked for as the petitioner was in continuous employment.

Heard learned counsel for the Zilla Parishad.

Learned counsel for the State prays for an opportunity to file opposition.

Let opposition be filed by the respondent No.2 within three weeks from this date.

Reply, if any, be filed within a week thereafter.

List the matter under the same heading after four weeks.

***(Jay Sengupta, J.)***