

s/L **03+08**
17.03.2026
Court No.04
B.K.N

F.M.A. 2071 of 2016
Md. Sahid @ Mohammad Shahid
Vs.
Sahadeb Mal & Ors.

With

C.P.A.N. 529 of 2020
Saheb Dutta & Ors.
Vs.
Mr. Atul Kumar Goel & Ors.

With

C.P.A.N. 530 of 2020
Md. Sahid @ Mohammad Shahid
Vs.
Mr. Atul Kumar Goel & Ors.

With

F.M.A. 2072 of 2016
Saheb Dutta & Ors.
Vs.
Sahadeb Mal & Ors.

With

R.V.W. 66 of 2020
UCO Bank
Vs.
Sahadeb Mal & Ors.

With

R.V.W. 65 of 2020
UCO Bank
Vs.
Md. Sahid @ Mohammad Shahid & Ors.

Mr. Ratikanta Pal,
Mr. Kaushik Bandopadhyay,
Ms. D. Ghosh

...for the Appellants.

Mr. Aniruddha Saha Roy

...for the U.C.O. Bank.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Law Officer
(Through Virtual Mode)

...for the U.C.O. Bank (Respondent No. 1).

1. The learned advocate representing the Bank submits that till date they have not been able to place on record the translated copies of documents in terms of the Court's last order dated 24.02.20216. He seeks further one week's time for the same.
2. We find that the Hon'ble Apex Court by an order dated 09.09.2025 disposed of the S.L.P arising out of order passed by the co-ordinate Bench in an Intra Court Appeal. While disposing of the appeal the Hon'ble Apex Court directed as follows:

"4. Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant-Bank submits that inadvertently, the counsel representing the Bank failed to point out the factual position that there is already a rule, but the same could not be brought to the notice of the Court. If that is so, the appellant-Bank can certainly approach the Court and re-agitate the issue afresh.

5. In view of the submission of the learned counsel that the counsel representing the appellant-Bank failed to place before the Court the relevant rule, as such, considering this aspect of the matter, we deem it appropriate to set aside the order(s) passed by the High Court in appeals as well as in the review applications and permit the appellant-UCO Bank for revival of the appeals for re-consideration in light of the rule relied before us.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Needless to state that the appeals be heard afresh and be decided as expeditiously as possible and we expect that the parties would not seek unnecessary adjournments and assist the Court for the early decision of the matters."

3. We, therefore, adjourn the matter enabling the Bank to place on record the translated copies. The time may be utilized by the Bank also to place on record the Rules,

being relied upon, if they do not form part of the existing record.

4. List this matter on **31st March, 2026**.
5. The parties are in agreement that the other matters listed alongwith the appeal being C.P.A.N. 529 of 2020 and C.P.A.N. 530 of 2020 have become infructuous since the order of the Division Bench was set aside to enable fresh consideration of the matter.
6. C.P.A.N. 529 of 2020 and C.P.A.N. 530 of 2020 are accordingly disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J.)

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)