

ASR 5.
Ct. no. 24.
10.9.2025

WPA 3463 of 2020

With

CAN 2 of 2020(Old CAN No. 3652 of 2020)

With

CAN 3 of 2021

Dr. Anandamay Adak & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Sagar Bandyapadhyay, Sr. Advocate
Mrs. Soma Kar Ghosh
Ms. Suparna Pal

.....For the Petitioners

Mr. Anirban Mitra
Mr. Sukanta Chakraborty
Ms. Anamika Pandey
Ms. Amrita Pandey

....For the Respondent/Bose Institute

Ms. Chandreyi Alam
Ms. Runu Mukherjee

....For the Union of India.

WPA 8008 of 2020

With

CAN 1 of 2022

Jayasish Ghosh & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India

Mr. Subir Sanyal, Senior Advocate
Mr. Ratul Biswas
Mr. Rajdeep Bhattacharyya

.....For the Appellants

Mr. Anirban Mitra
Mr. Sukanta Chakraborty
Ms. Anamika Pandey
Ms. Amrita Pandey

....For the Respondent/Bose Institute

Ms. Chandreyi Alam
Ms. Runu Mukherjee

....For the Union of India.

This matter appears under the heading 'For Correction'.

In the first page of judgement dated 3rd September, 2025 in the cause title petitioner's name in connection with WPA 8008 of 2020 should be read as "Jayasish Ghosh & Ors." instead of "Jayasish Ghosh".

In the same page 'appellants' should be read as 'petitioners'.

For representation on behalf of the petitioner the name of learned counsels should be corrected as under "Mr. Sagar Bandyapadhyay, Senior Advocate, Ms. Soma Kar Ghosh" instead of "Soma Ghosh, Advocate", "Ms. Suparna Paul" instead of "Ms. Suparna Pathak, Advocate."

In the same page in connection with WPA 3463 of 2020, at the place of representation for petitioner, but designation of learned Senior counsel was not mentioned inadvertently.

Accordingly, representation on behalf of the petitioner should be corrected as "Mr. Subir Sanyal, learned Senior Advocate" instead of "Mr. Subir Sanyal, Advocate".

In Page 2 of paragraph 2 the form 'Junior' should be omitted.

In page 2 of paragraph 3 in the first line the writ petition no. should be read as WPA 8008 of 2020 instead of "WPA 8008 of 2022".

In the 4th line "Level" should be omitted and its place "laboratory" should be inserted.

In the last but one line of the said page 'with sincerity' should be read as 'sincerely'.

In the last line of the said page 'assistant' should be read as 'assistance'.

At the page 7 of last line 'revise' should be read as 'revised'.

At page 10 of paragraph 13 at the 7th and the line 'Library' should read as 'Laboratory'.

At page 11 of paragraph 14 at the 8th line 'being' should read as 'were'.

At the same page of paragraph 14 at the 10th line first word 'permission' should be read as 'promotion'.

At page 13 of paragraph 16 at the 3rd line 'cheered' should be read as 'chaired'.

At page 14 of paragraph 17 at the 7th line 'concerned' should be read as 'concern'

At page 14 of paragraph 18 at the 5th line 'carrier advance scheme' should be read as 'Carrier Advancement Scheme'.

At the same page last part one lie 'carrier advance scheme' should read as 'Carrier Advancement Scheme'.

At page 16 at the 3rd line 'assigned by Registrar' should be read as 'signed by the Registrar'.

At page 16 of paragraph 23 in the last line the year should read as '2001' instead of '2004'.

At the same page paragraph 24 at the 5th line 'non-technique' should be read as 'non-technical'.

At page 17 3rd line '20nd' should be read as '22nd'.

At page 18 at the first line first word "library" should read 'laboratory'. At the same para in the last line after word "service" add word "conditions".

At page 19 at paragraph 28 at the 6th line after the date 05.05.2020 the term "had" should be added and after "revert" preposition 'of' should be omitted.

At the same page at the 7th line of same paragraph 'possession' should be read as 'position', and 9th line of the same paragraph 'revision' should be read as 'reversion' and in the same line after word "that" 'too' should be added.

At the page 23 paragraph 35 line 6, the word 'a' should substituted as 'an' and at the 10th line the last word i.e. year should be read as "2008" instead of "2018".

At page 24 at the 4th line of paragraph 36 'Mana' should be read as 'Manas'.

At page 24 last line of paragraph 36 'legal' should be read as 'legally'.

At page 25 of paragraph 40 of first line 'meticulous clear' should be read as 'meticulous'.

At page 26 at the 16th line the year should be read as '2019' instead of '2018'.

At page 27 at the 9th line first word (DAC) should be read as '(DAE)'.

In the same page last but two line the form 'residue' should read as 'residency'.

At page 28 of paragraph 42 at 6th line the date should be read as '16th' instead of '11th'.

At page 28 of paragraph 42 at the 7th line 'issue' should be read as 'issued a'.

At page 29 at the 2nd line 'of' should be read as 'by the'.

At the 5th line of the same page the month 'September' should be read as 'October' in the same line before "consideration" a word "upon" should be inserted.

At page 29 paragraph 44 at the 5th line 'possession' should be read as 'position'.

At page 30 of 2nd line 'possession' should be read as 'position'.

At page 30 paragraph 45 'at the 4th line 'principal' should be read as 'principle'.

At the same page paragraph 46 2nd line 'discussion' should be read as 'decision'.

At page 31 of paragraph 47 at the 2nd line year should be read as '2019' instead of '2016'.

At the same paragraph the 'Principal' should be read as 'Principle'.

At page 31 paragraph 48 at the 2nd line 'with' should be read as 'on' and 4th line the form 'harse' should be read as 'harsh'.

Office is directed to make necessary correction as mentioned hereinabove in the body of the judgement within a fortnight.

[Subhendu Samanta, J]