

30.08.2024
rpan/9-14

RVW 2408 of 2007

+

IA No.: CAN 1 of 2007 (Old No.: CAN 9250 of 2007)

The State of West Bengal & Others

– *Versus* –

Sri Rajendra Agarwalla & Others

in

WPLRT 1115 of 2001

+

CPAN 660 of 2007

Sri Rajendra Agarwalla & Others

– *Versus* –

Sukumar Singha Ray & Others

AND

RVW 2407 of 2007

+

IA No.: CAN 1 of 2007 (Old No.: CAN 9254 of 2007)

The State of West Bengal & Others

– *Versus* –

Lakshmi Narayan Agarwal

in

WPLRT 1420 of 2001

+

CPAN 661 of 2007

Lakshmi Narayan Agarwal

– *Versus* –

Sukumar Singha Ray

AND

RVW 2409 of 2007

+

IA No.: CAN 1 of 2007 (Old No.: CAN 9255 of 2007)

The State of West Bengal & Others

– *Versus* –

Sumitra Devi Agarwal

in

WPLRT 1419 of 2001

+

CPAN 659 of 2007

Sumitra Devi Agarwal

– *Versus* –

Sukumar Singha Ray & Others

Mr. Pradip Kumar Roy
... for the Writ Petitioners.

Records reveal that the three review petitions were taken up for hearing by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court and by an order dated 3rd January, 2019 the Court observed *inter alia* that:

‘In view of the aforesaid, we adjourn hearing of the application for review and the contempt application sine die with liberty to the parties to mention the same once the reference made in Khoday Distilleries Limited (supra) is answered.’

No one appears today on behalf of the review applicants.

Mr. Roy, learned advocate appearing for the writ petitioners submits that alleging violation of the orders passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the three writ petitions, three contempt applications have been preferred. However, he has not been able to apprise this Court as to whether the reference made in the case of *Khoday Distilleries Limited (supra)* had been answered by the Hon’ble Supreme Court and accordingly, he prays for a short accommodation to avail necessary instruction.

In view thereof, list the matters for further consideration in the daily supplementary list of this Court under the same heading on 18th September, 2019.

Mr. Roy is directed to intimate this order to the learned advocates, who earlier entered appearance earlier on behalf of the State respondents and to file an affidavit-of-service on the returnable date.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.) (Tapabrata Chakraborty, J.)