

CRA 104 of 1993

In the matter of : **Purushottam Das Mimani**

....Appellant

Mr. Karan Dudhwewala

...For the State

1. Appellant is not represented.
2. Learned Advocate for the respondent no.1 is present.
3. It appears from the report submitted by the department that notice has been duly served upon the respondent no.1. It further appears from the report dated 22.05.2025 submitted by the department that the notice has been duly served upon the appellant, despite receiving the notice the appellant did not venture to appear before this Court.
4. As no one is present to represent the appellant, the learned Member Secretary, Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee is requested to appoint an Advocate from their panel to represent the appellant in this case.
5. Department is directed to communicate this order to the Member Secretary, Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee immediately.
6. Department is further directed to hand over the copies of the Paper Book to the learned Advocate for the respondent no.1 as well as to the learned Advocate who would be

appointed by the Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee.

7. Let the matter appear in the combined monthly list of November, 2025.

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)