



2022:CHC-AS:69888-DB

Ct. No.4 D/L 24.02.2026
(Naba) 3-8

**F.M.A. 2071 of 2016
(ASSIGNED)**

**Md. Sahid @ Mohammad Shahid
Vs.
Sahadeb Mal & Ors.**

With

CPAN 529 of 2020

**Saheb Dutta & Ors.
Vs.**

Mr. Atul Kumar Goel & Ors.

With

CPAN 530 of 2020

**Md. Sahid @ Mohammad Shahid
Vs.
Mr. Atul Kumar Goel & Ors.**

With

**F.M.A. 2072 of 2016
(ASSIGNED)**

**Saheb Dutta & Ors.
Vs.**

Sahadeb Mal & Ors.

With

R.V.W. 66 of 2020

UCO Bank

Vs.

Sahadeb Mal & Ors.

With

R.V.W. 65 of 2020

UCO Bank

Vs.

Md. Sahid @ Mohammad Shahid & Ors.

Mr. Ratikanta Pal,
Mr. Kaushik Bandyapadhyay

...for the Appellants

Mr. Rajarshi Dutta,
Mr. Sarbesh Chaudhury

...for the UCO Bank in FMA 2071 of 2016

Ms. Mayuri Ghosh,
Mr. Aniruddha Saha Ray

...for the UCO Bank in FMA 2072 of 2016



1. The learned advocate for the Bank responding to the Court's query has placed reliance on Clause-B of the advertisement based on which the recruitment was being conducted. He has also placed before the Court the relevant circular governing the recruitment which is dated 31.03.2012. Referring to these two documents which are filed in the paper books today, he states that the prescribed eligibility criteria is 8th standard pass but less than SCC/matriculation; containing a clear stipulation that higher qualifying candidate is ineligible.
2. The learned advocate for the appellant submits that he requires some time for dealing with this provisions before proceeding with arguments.
3. List the matter on **5th May, 2026** under the appropriate heading.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J.)

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)