



2018:CHC-AS:470

11
31.01.2022
adeb

RVW 111 of 2016
In
WPA 31076 of 2014
(Via Video Conference)

Anita Maity (Das)
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Kallol Basu
Mr. Anindya Bose
Mr. Diptendu Mondal
..for the Petitioner

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Sagnik Chatterjee
...for the State

Mr. Jayanta Kr. Das
Mr. Gourav Das
..for the respondent no. 7 & 8

Mr. Debasish Das
..for the respondent no. 6

The review application is taken up for hearing in presence of the learned advocates representing the review applicant, added respondent nos. 7 & 8 and one Manash Nayak being the respondent no. 6.

Mr. Debasish Das, learned advocate appears on behalf of the respondent no. 6 and prays for accommodation since he is not well. Such prayer made on behalf of the respondent no. 6 is not seriously opposed by the learned advocates representing the review applicant as well as added respondents.



However, Mr. Debasish Das, learned advocate prays leave to file exception to the affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the added respondent within a week.

Accordingly, leave is granted to the respondent no. 6 to file exception in the form of an affidavit within one week dealing with the averments made by the added respondents in their affidavit in opposition to the review application with an advance copy to the learned advocates representing the review applicant as well as the added respondents. The review applicant as well as added respondents shall also be at liberty to file an affidavit to the said exception, if necessary, within seven days thereafter.

Hearing of this review application stands adjourned till 28th February, 2022 when it will appear under the same heading.

Affidavit in opposition and reply in connection with the review application filed by the added respondents as well as the review applicant are taken on record.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)