



**18.11.2024
Item no.02+03
Court No. 50**

**Khairun Bibi
Vs
Subhas Chandra Roy & Ors.**

Ld. Advocate Mr. Sandip Das appears on behalf of OP.

Ld. Counsel for the OP submits that due topassing of the order he is suffering and necessary order be passed against the appellant.

Perused the office report dated 14.11.2024.

It transpires from the office report that one substitution application being CAN 02/2024 in connection with FAT 620 of 2014 has been filed by the Ld. Advocate Md. Yusuf Ali on 01.08.2024 on the death of the sole appellant Sri Sujit Mandal who died intestate on 30.05.2024 leaving behind his wife, one minor son namely Sayan Mandal and one minor daughter namely Sanjana Mandal as his legal heirs. The said substitution application has been filed for bringing the name of the legal heirs of the said deceased on record by way of substitution.

A photocopy of death certificate is annexed with the application being CAN 02/2024 and a vokatnama on behalf of legal heirs of the deceased has been filed after 63 days of the death of the sole appellant. But, it is recorded in the office report that there are certain irregularities which is required to beremoved at once.

Thus, it is apparent that there are certain irregularities in the substitution application being CAN 02/2024 filed after the demise of the sole appellant which is required to be dealt by the concerned department.



2023:CHC-AS:15798-DB

Hence, the concerned department is directed to revisit the report within one week from date positively.

(Nabanita Ray)
Registrar Administration (L&OM)