

**04.07.2023****FA 141 of 2022**

Court : 04
Item : 110
Matter : FA
Status : OP
Bench ID : 266048
Transcriber : NANDY

Smt. Sumon Ray
Vs.
Anil Karmakar & Anr.

Mr. Abhishek Agarwal, Advocate
Ms. Nilanjana Adhya, Advocate

.....for the Appellant

It transpires from the record that the appeal was filed by Smt. Suman Ray alone by executing a 'Vakalatnama' in favour of the learned Advocate. Subsequently, an application being CAN 2 of 2022 is filed showing the original respondent no. 2 as the co-appellant without any order of transposition passed by the Court. We further find that the application is intended to be filed by the sole appellant showing herself as the appellant no. 1.

In order to obviate any complication and to put the record straight, leave is granted to the learned Advocate-on-record of the appellant to delete the name of appellant no. 2 and insert her name as the respondent no. 2 in the said application. We further notice that by an order dated 23.11.2022, the respondent nos. 3, 4 and 5 were added in the instant appeal and the memorandum of appeal was accordingly amended.

Since the application being CAN 2 of 2022 was filed prior to the said order, leave is granted to the learned Advocate for the appellant to implead the respondent nos. 3, 4 and 5 in the instant application.

List the matter on the next Tuesday i.e. **11.07.2023**.

(Harish Tandon, J.)

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)



2025:CHC-AS:1259-DB