

D/L 2.
10.03.2026
Bpg.
ct.no.28

WPCRC 140 of 2025
In
WPA 20193 of 2024

Amitava Chatterjee
Versus
Professor (Dr.) Nimai Chandra Saha & Ors.

Mr. Biswarup Biswas
Mr. Pradip Kumar Ghosh
Ms. Namita Basu.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Kishore Dutta, Ld. A.G.
Mr. Jayanta Samanta
Ms. Srijani Mukherjee.
...for the alleged contemnor Nos.1 and 3.

Mr. Sanjay Saha.
...for the alleged contemnor No.2.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf the petitioner submits that the order dated 17.02.2026 passed by this Court was carried in appeal by the alleged contemnors. The order was not interfered with. On 17.02.2026 it was submitted on behalf of the alleged contemnor that there was difficulty in complying with the order passed by this Court as the relationship of master and servant had ceased to exist and, in fact, the alleged contemnor had purportedly passed a reasoned order in this regard. This is clearly not compliance of the order passed by this Court. Incidentally, the order passed by this Court on

09.09.2024 has to be seen in the context of the order passed by the Division Bench earlier on February 8, 2021 in MAT 318 of 2020.

Learned Advocate General representing the alleged contemnor nos.1 and 3 submits as follows. Due to inadvertence on 17.02.2026 it could not be properly communicated that the order passed by this Court had actually been complied with within the extended time. It was only that the final reliefs sought by the petitioner could not be granted due to reasons cited in the said order. It was not that the order passed by this Court was not being complied with because the relationship of master and servant had ceased to exist.

Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the alleged contemnor nos.1 and 3 is taken on record.

In view of the same, let the matter come up for further consideration under the same heading on 10th April, 2026 at 2.00 p.m.

The presence of the alleged contemnor nos.1 and 3 is noted and is dispensed with for the present.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)