

March 20, 2026
(22) ARDR

WPA 165 of 2026

Gouranga Ghosh
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Adv. Atarup Banerjee,
Adv. Bapin Baidya,
Adv. Rajdeep Pramanik,
Adv. Simika Roy,

...for the petitioner.

Adv. Manoj Malhotra,
Adv. Sabyasachi Mondal,
Adv. Sandip Chattopadhyay,

...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner is taken on record.

The petitioner is a physically challenged person whose name was sent by the employment exchange vide memo dated 19th October, 2005 for appointment to the post of 'Group D' in 24 Parganas (South) Zilla Parishad. The petitioner was appointed to the said post upon compliance of all formalities on 23rd February, 2006. The petitioner seeks regularisation of his service in the permanent 'Group D' post and claims to be similarly circumstanced with Gopal Chandra Pramanik who was appointed with the petitioner and has been regularised by virtue of a judgment delivered by an Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in MAT 2026 of 2022 on 7th November, 2024. The said judgment travelled to the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (C) no.12063 of 2025. The SLP was dismissed. The petitioner submitted a representation before the

concerned authority on 5th December, 2025 and seeks consideration of the same in the light of the observation made by this Court in MAT 2026 of 2022.

Upon consideration of the submission made on behalf of the parties, this Court directs the Project Director, District Rural Development Cell, being the 5th respondent herein, to consider and dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner in the light of the observation made in the judgment of this Court in MAT 2026 of 2022 upon affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to all concerned including the petitioner, in accordance with law.

The decision taken by the authority shall be communicated to the petitioner within a week thereof.

In the event the concerned authority arrives at a decision in favour of the petitioner, necessary consequential steps be taken at the earliest.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

There shall however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)