

10.04.2026
Item No.94
Court No.8.
AB

**CPAN 7 of 2026
In
FMAT 206 of 2025**

Priyanka Pasi

Vs.

**Sudharshan B. Holla, Joint Managing Director
Shriram Finance Limited & Others**

Mr. Rahul Deb Goenka ...for the Petitioner.

Dictated by Arijit Banerjee, J.

1. This contempt application has been taken out alleging wilful violation of an order dated September 16, 2025, passed by a Coordinate Bench, whereby FMAT 206 of 2025 was disposed of. The operative portion of the said order reads as follows:

“3. However, the respondent upon paying a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- within a period of two weeks from date, the vehicle should be returned to the plaintiff in a pliable condition and the appellant shall reschedule the instalment and shall not charge any penalty or other amount which would have been otherwise payable upon termination of the agreement. The respondent shall diligently adhere to the rescheduled EMIs.”

2. Learned Advocate for the petitioner says that in spite of the petitioner having paid the sum of Rs.5 Lacs to the Finance Company, the vehicle in question has not been returned to her. This is clear violation of the order dated September 16, 2025.

3. Let service of this contempt petition be effected on the alleged contemnors and affidavit of service be filed on the next date.
4. List this matter once again on 8.5.2026.

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)