

December 19, 2024
AD 23
Ct. 17
SG

WPA 30093 of 2024

Barun Kumar Sasmal
vs.
The State of West Bengal and others

Mr. Anindya Bose
Mr. Santanu Maji
Mr. Mridul Biswas
... for the petitioner

Mr. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay
Mr. Ritesh Kumar Ganguly
... for the State

Mr. Prosenjit Mukherjee
Ms. Madhurima Sarkar
... for the Madrasah Service Commission

Affidavit of service filed in Court is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had sought transfer on the ground that his minor son is suffering from autism. His younger son is also suffering from neurological disorder. Yet, the representation of the petitioner has not been considered.

Learned counsel for the respondent Nos.3 to 5 submits that if a representation is pending consideration, the same would be decided in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent authorities to consider the petitioner's representation in accordance with law and more specifically Rule 34 of the West Bengal Madrasah Service Commission (Selection and Recommendation of

Persons for Appointment and Transfer to the posts of Teacher and Non-teaching staff) Rules, 2023 and as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

Parties shall act on a server copy duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)