

30-03-2026
ct no. 10
Sl. 3
RP

WPA 143 of 2026
Karuna Mahato
-Versus-
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Songata Mitra, Mr. Nikhil Kr Gupta, Ms. Soma Chakraborty, Mr. Subhadeep Maitra	...for the petitioner
Ms. Sonal Sinha, Ms. Rajyashree Mukherjee	...for the State
Mr. Manas Kr Das	...for respondent no. 7
Mr. D. Mukherjee, Mr. A K Barman	...for respondent no. 6

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the writ petition certain events have occurred which needs to be brought on record.
2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner wishes to file a supplementary affidavit within 3 weeks. A copy of the same be circulated amongst all the parties.
3. Affidavit-in-opposition be filed in the meantime.
4. Learned Counsel appearing for the private respondent submits that the instant writ petition has become infructuous since the license has already been granted in favour of the private respondent after considering the representation made by the petitioner and is running a FPS dealership.

5. In this context the petitioner submits the without hearing the petitioner the license has been granted in favour of the private respondent despite the representation made before the authority concerned.
6. Let this matter appear on 06.05.2026. In the meantime the parties are directed to exchange and file their affidavits.

(Smita Das De, J.)