

08.07.2025

Item No. 3

Crt.No.02

b.r.

WPA 29759 of 2024

Sujay Kar

-vs-

Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Dyutiman Banerjee

Mr. Vishal Mallick

.... For the petitioner.

Mr. Kumar Jyoti Tiwari

Ms. Anamika Pandey

... for the Union of India.

Mr. Dilip Kumar Kundu

Mr. Arjun Basu

.... For the LICl.

Mr. Dyutiman Banerjee, learned counsel, appears
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dilip Kumar Kundu, learned advocate with
Mr. Arjun Basu, learned advocate appears for the
respondents-LICl.

Mr. Kumar Jyoti Tiwari, learned advocate with
Ms. Anamika Pandey, learned advocate appears for the
Union of India.

The parties before this Court, at the threshold,
submits that the cause of action in the instant writ
petition is identical with that of **WPA 10001 of 2025**.

In the said writ petition, this Court has passed an
order dated **June 24, 2025**.

Today, the writ petition appears under the heading “To Be Mentioned” for the purpose of extending the interim order which was last extended on **April 17, 2025** and is in force till **July 15, 2025**.

Learned counsel for the LICI submits that he is opposing the prayer for extension of interim order.

After considering the rival contentions of the parties and on perusal of the order dated **June 24, 2025** passed in **WPA 10001 of 2025**, this Court is of the view that identical order should be passed herein.

In view of the above, since the issue is pending before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, the judicial propriety demands that hearing of this writ petition should be adjourned for the time being until the issue is finally decided by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. The same interim order passed earlier dated **April 10, 2025** can continue for the time being. Accordingly, the proceeding against the petitioner may continue but no final order shall be passed by L.I.C.I.

The writ petition shall appear under the heading **“Motion (Service)”** in the **monthly list of September, 2025**.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)