

2
17.07.2026
sb
Ct.3.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 29626 of 2025

Mukul Karmakar
Versus
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Malini Chakravorty
Ms. Shampa De
... For the petitioner.

Mr. Sovon Mukherjee
Mr. Palash Bapari
.... For the State.

Mr. Avinash Kankani
Mr. Anish Kumar Mukherjee
Ms. Jayita Dhar Chakraborty
... For Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation.

Ms. Arunima Lala
Mr. Dibanath Dey
... For the respondent nos. 6 and 7

1. Pursuant to the order dated 15th July, 2026, Mr. Surajit Basu, the concerned Executive Engineer, Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation is personally present in Court. He is apologetic for his conduct and would submit that by reasons of communication gap between him and his learned advocate, the signature could not be affixed to the report. At the Court premises, he has affixed his signature on the report. The report is accordingly taken on record and is circulated to the parties. On the express undertaking given by the concerned Executive Engineer that this conduct shall not be repeated in future, his personal appearance stands

dispensed with. The direction for filing of affidavit also stands dispensed with.

2. Having regard to the disclosure made in the report the private parties would submit that the private parties being the petitioner and the respondent nos. 6 and 7 are ready and willing to act in terms of the report and carry out the renovation work. The learned advocates for the private parties would jointly submit that they shall bear their respective renovation expenses insofar as internal work is concerned and insofar as the external renovation work is concerned they shall share the expenses.

3. Having regard to the submissions made in Court, I am of the view that the private parties may file their respective undertakings before this Court to carry out the renovation work in terms of the report filed by the Executive Engineer, Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation dated 17th July, 2026. It is made clear that prior to initiation of the work and upon completion of the same intimation thereof must be made to the municipal authorities whereupon the municipal authorities shall carry out an inspection and ascertain whether the works have been carried out in terms of the proposal given by the Executive Engineer. Once, the Executive Engineer is satisfied with the work, certification to that effect shall be given.

4. Let the aforesaid undertaking be filed on/or before the matter is taken up next.

5. List this matter under the heading “To Be Mentioned” on 27th July, 2026.

6. After this order is passed, though Ms. Chakravorty, learned advocate representing the petitioner would insist for damages, I am of the view, having regard to the peculiar state of affairs, the parties should bear their own cost.

7. The parties would jointly submit that there is a typographical error in recording the appearance of the learned advocates in the order dated 15th July, 2026.

8. Accordingly, appearance of the learned advocates are corrected in the following manner:

Ms. Malini Chakravorty
Ms. Shampa De
... For the petitioner.

Mr. Sovon Mukherjee
Mr. Palash Bapari
.... For the State.

Mr. Avinash Kankani
Mr. Anish Kumar Mukherjee
Ms. Jayita Dhar Chakraborty
... For Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation.

Ms. Arunima Lala
Mr. Dibanath Dey
... For the respondent nos. 6 and 7

9. Department is directed to carry out the appropriate correction in the order dated 15th July, 2026 insofar as the appearance of the parties are concerned.

10. The other portions of the order dated 15th July, 2026 remain unaltered.

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)