



D/L.4.
February 19, 2026.
MNS.

FAT No. 561 of 2025
+
CAN 1 of 2026

Sri Krushan Chandra Nayak
Vs.
Gobinda Charan Pyne

Mr. Anshunath Chakraborty

... for the appellant.

Mr. Anirban Pal

...for the respondent.

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appeal is not time-barred and draws the attention of the Court to the dates of application for certified copy of the impugned judgment and decree and obtaining of the same.
2. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the appeal is time-barred since the limitation period for preferring a challenge against the judgment and decree of the City Civil Court is thirty days.
3. We find substance in the contention of the respondent.
4. Calculating the dates from the reverse of the cover page of the certified copy of the judgment, we find that the appeal is time-barred, as correctly reported by the Stamp Reporter.



5. Accordingly, liberty is given to the appellant to file an appropriate application for condonation of the delay in preferring the appeal.
6. Let the matter go out of the list, with liberty to the parties to mention as and when such application is filed.

(Supratim Bhattacharya, J.) (Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)