

22.05.2026
Item No.04 (DL)
Court No.06
AJ.

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION**

C.O. 4442 of 2025

Smt. Purabi Ghosh

-Vs-

Pratima Rani Ghosh & Ors.

Mr. Gopal Chandra Ghosh, Ld. Sr. Adv.,
Ms. Madhumita Patra.

....for the petitioner.

Mr. Supriyo Kumar Roy,

Mr. Rajarshi Mitra,

Ms. S. Parveen.

....for the opposite party nos.1 & 2.

Mr. Anirban Roy,

Mr. Debjit Basu.

....for the opposite party nos.3 & 4.

1. This revisional application is directed against an order dated August 19, 2025 passed by the learned Judge, 5th Bench, Presidency Small Causes Court, Calcutta in Ejectment Suit No. 216 of 2018 whereby the petitioner's application under Order 14 Rule 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 has been rejected and the opposite parties' application under Section 7(3) of the West Bengal Premises Tenancy Act, 1997 has been allowed thereby striking out the petitioner's defence against the delivery of possession in the suit.

2. Heard Mr. Ghosh, learned senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner, Mr. Supriyo Roy, learned Advocate appearing for the opposite party nos.1 and 2 and Mr. Anirban Roy,

learned Advocate appearing for the opposite party nos.3 and 4.

3. List this matter for further consideration as “Upgraded Motion” on June 16, 2026

4. The parties shall have liberty to pray for an adjournment before the learned Trial Court if the proceedings are scheduled to be taken up on any date prior to the date on which the present revisional application has been made returnable.

5. Mr. Supriyo Roy, learned Advocate appearing for the opposite party nos.1 and 2 and Mr. Anirban Roy, learned Advocate appearing for the opposite party nos.3 and 4 have fairly submitted that they shall not oppose any prayer for adjournment made by the petitioner before the learned Trial Court.

(Om Narayan Rai, J.)