

S/L 3
17.03.2026
Court No.18
SD

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

WPA 29184 of 2024

CAN 1 of 2025

CAN 2 of 2026

Apares Mandal

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Debajyoti Basu, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sauradeep Dutta

Mr. Himadree Ghosh

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Brijendra Pratap Singh

Mr. Manabendra Nath Bandyopadhyay

...for the Union of India.

1. The affidavit-in-opposition to the writ petition by the respondents/railways and affidavit-in-reply thereto by the petitioner filed in Court today be retained with the records.
2. The petitioner alleges that despite being the most successful candidate in the cadre, the petitioner is not being considered for promotion illegally and arbitrarily. His promotion is due from the year 2014 but the same has not been given effect to till date.
3. According to the respondents, the petitioner was ineligible to be considered for promotion as he did not complete the minimum period required for appearing in the promotional examination.
4. Whether the petitioner would be entitled to be considered for promotion or not has to be decided.
5. The matter is required to be heard.

6. Re-list the matter for consideration under the heading 'Hearing' in the combined monthly list of April 2026.

7. Any steps taken by the employer during the pendency of the writ petition shall abide by the result of the same.

8. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)