

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 28248 of 2025

Md. Abdur Rashid
v.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Firdous Samim
Ms. Gopa Biswas
Mr. Naman Shah
Ms. Brinta Dutta
... For the petitioner

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharjee
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh (vc)
... For the WBCSSC

1. The petitioner participated in 2nd State Level Selection Test, 2025. He is one mark short of the cut-off marks. He submits that due to a bona fide error on his part, the marks obtained by him in the post graduate examination was incorrectly mentioned in his application form as 594 in place and in stead of 600. If proper marks are disclosed, then the petitioner will get more marks and his name will be incorporated in the interview list.

2. The petitioner has raised an issue regarding non-granting of edit option in respect of the academic fields despite the West Bengal Central School Service Commission granting option to edit several other categories, namely, name, date of birth, gender, photo with signature, category, caste category and experience details. According to the petitioner, the Commission ought to have permitted the candidates to edit the academic fields incorrectly mentioned in the application form.

3. In support of the submission that the error on the part of the petitioner is a bona fide, unintentional and trivial one, and ought to be permitted to correct the same, learned advocate for the petitioner relies on the judgments delivered by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court and the Hon'ble Madhya Pradesh High Court.

4. It appears that this Bench has dismissed matter of a candidate seeking rectification of the figure of the academic field mentioned in the application form.

5. Learned advocate for the Commission has raised objection with regard to the maintainability of the writ petition at such a delayed point of time. It has been submitted that the final panel has been published and any direction passed to change the status of the petitioner, will disturb the said panel.

6. The issue has to be decided by the Court.

7. The Commission is directed to file a report disclosing the reason as to why the academic fields were not permitted to be edited despite edit options provided in several other fields.

8. Let such report by way of affidavit be prepared and circulated by 20th February, 2026.

9. Re-list the matter on 25th February, 2026.

10. All parties shall act on the basis of a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)