

03-02-2026
Item No.12
Subrata
Bhattacharyya
AR(C)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA No.28248 of 2025

MD Abdur Rashid

-vs-

State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Firdous Samim
Ms. Gopa Biswas
Mr. Mainak Ghosal
Mr. Naman Shah
Ms. Brinta Dutta ...for the petitioner

Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay, sr. adv.
Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
Ms. Pramini Bandopadhyay
Mr. Arka Kumar Nag
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh ...for the Commission

1. The petitioner participated in 2nd SLST, 2025 for classes XI and XII. He inadvertently disclosed incorrect marks obtained by him in his postgraduate examination.
2. According to the petitioner, mentioning of incorrect marks was not deliberate and he will not gain anything out of the wrong disclosure of marks. On the other hand, he is losing two marks for such wrong recording.
3. It has been submitted that on three occasions the Commission permitted the candidates to edit their details in the application form, but in none of the occasions was the option available for correcting error in the marks submitted by the candidate.
4. It has further been submitted that as the Commission permitted editing of various details

in the application form, opportunity ought to have been granted to the candidates to rectify any error in any portion of the application form. He submits that permitting editing of only selected fields is in violation of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution.

5. In support of his submission that minor errors can be permitted to be rectified, learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon an order passed by the Madhya Pradesh High Court, Bench at Indore on March 15, 2022 in **Writ Petition No.9338/2021** in the case of **Poonam Pal D/o Laxman Singh Pal -vs- Madhya Pradesh Gramin Bank**.
6. Learned counsel for the Commission opposes the prayer of the petitioner and relies upon an order passed by this Court on December 8, 2025 in WPA No.27217 of 2025 (Arpita Pal -vs- The State of West Bengal & Ors.).
7. To this, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to revert with further instruction.
8. On such prayer, relist the matter on February 10, 2026.
9. All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
10. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be made available to the parties.

[Amrita Sinha, J]

