

03-02-2026
Item No.13
Subrata
Bhattacharyya
AR(C)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA No.28250 of 2025

Anamika Das & Anr.

-vs-

State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Firdous Samim
Ms. Gopa Biswas
Mr. Hasanuz Zaman Molla
Mr. Ayush Majumder ...for the petitioners

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
Ms. Pramiti Bandopadhyay
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh ...for the Commission

Mr. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay, SSC
Mr. Tarak Karan ...for the State

1. The petitioners participated in 2nd SLST 2025 in respect of classes IX, X, XI & XII. They claim to be members of the reserved category and had applied by submitting fees meant for reserved categories. Their allegation is that they have been treated as general category candidates as they failed to update/edit their caste details in accordance with the opportunities granted by the Commission.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners in support of his submission relies upon a judgment delivered by this Bench on November 27, 2025 (Somnath Pramanik & Ors. -vs- The State of West Bengal & Ors.).
3. Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in an appeal against the said order on December

16, 2025 in MAT No.2115 of 2025 (The West Bengal Central School Service Commission & Ors. -vs- Somnath Pramanik & Ors.) has also been placed before this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners relies on a judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **Lt. Col. Suprita Chandel -vs- Union of India & Ors.** reported in **2024 SCC OnLine SC 3664** wherein the apex court reiterated that it is settled principle of law that where a citizen aggrieved by an action of the government department has approached the Court and obtained a declaration of law in his/her favour, others similarly situated ought to be extended the benefit without the need for them to go to Court.
5. The ratio laid down in the matters of **Amrit Lal Berry-vs-Collector of Central Excise, New Delhi** reported in **(1975) 4 SCC 714** and **K.I. Shephard -vs- Union of India** reported in **(1987) 4 SCC 431** have been relied upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the said case in support of such principle.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners tried to update their reserved category details within the time limit fixed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in accordance with the public notice published by the Commission on December 16, 2025; but even though the portal permitted the petitioners to update their category details in respect of classes XI and XII, but their category details in respect of classes IX and X were not permitted to be updated.
7. It appears that the aforesaid fact is not mentioned in the instant writ petition.
8. Leave is granted to the petitioners to file a

supplementary affidavit disclosing such facts. Such supplementary affidavit may be prepared and circulated by February 10, 2026.

9. Relist on February 17, 2026.
10. Learned counsel for the Commission shall take necessary instruction in the meantime.
11. All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
12. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be made available to the parties.

[Amrita Sinha, J]