

23.03.2026  
Court No.42  
Item No.10  
Sudipta

**CRR 5294 of 2025  
With  
CRAN 1 of 2026**

**Tuhin Patra  
Vs.  
State of West Bengal & Anr.**

Mr. Rabindra Kumar Mitra  
Mr. Sourjya Das  
Ms. Poulami Bhowmick  
Mrs. Suparna Roy Das

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman De  
Mr. Ashok Das

... for the State.

1. Affidavit of service filed. Let it be taken on record.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for extension of interim order.
3. Learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 has opposed the same on the ground that the learned Trial Court cancelled the bail vide order dated 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2025 by a detailed order that the petitioner failed to cooperate with the investigation.
4. Learned counsel for the State seeks time to produce the case diary.
5. The petitioner is the husband of the de facto complainant. It is a matter of matrimonial dispute. The recovery of certain gold ornaments is yet to be effected. The bail was cancelled as allegedly that the petitioner did not join the investigation. The plea of the petitioner is that the gold pledged with Moothoot Gold and is lying at Bangalore.

6. Be that as it may be, it is the petitioner's duty to take steps for getting the recovery effected of the gold ornaments allegedly belonging to the de facto complainant. Petitioner submits that he had joined the investigation. The case of the opposite party is that the petitioner never joins the investigation.

7. The interim order granted earlier is extended for a short period of time i.e. only till 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2026. In the meantime, petitioner shall take all the steps and join the investigation as and when directed by the I.O. In case petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigation, the interim order shall stand vacated.

8. Let the matter appear on 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2026.

**(Dinesh Kumar Sharma, J.)**