

D/L 07
11.12.2024
Kausik
ct.no.35

W.P.A. 28357 of 2024

**Selima Bibi
Versus
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Kishore Mukherjee

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashim Kumar Ganguly, AGP
Mr. Bellal Shaikh

...for the state.

Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that he purchased a property but the vendor of the property started demanding extra money. The petitioner vested his own property when the petitioner claim that he was threatened of all dire consequences and on a subsequent date he was assaulted and injured at the behest of the private respondents.

State has submitted a report which contends that proceedings under section 126 of the BNSS has been drawn up by Ghola Police Station on 02.12.2024.

In view of the proceedings which have been drawn under section 126 of the BNSS, I direct that the police authorities will ensure that no further untoward incident takes place if the petitioner enters his property, provided the property belongs to him.

In case the private respondents are adamant in their activities, the police authorities would then insist upon a good behavior bond which may include amongst others to stay outside jurisdiction of Ghola Police Station to be imposed upon the private respondents.

So far as the other accusations are concerned, petitioner would be at liberty to approach the jurisdictional criminal court by invoking the provisions of section 175(3) of the BNSS.

With the aforesaid observations WPA 28357 of 2024 is disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)