

Ct.No.1 D/L 06.01.2026
23

Saikat
Mukherjee

MAT/2133/2024
with
IA NO: CAN/1/2024
with
CAN/2/2024

SURAJ CHOKHANI AND ANR.
VS.
DIRECTOR OF ENFORCEMENT

Mr. Anuj Singh, Adv.
Ms. Rupal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Chaturvedi, Adv.

...For the Appellants

Per, **Partha Sarathi Sen, J.:-**

1. At the outset, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the appellants draws our attention to the first paragraph of the order dated 11th December, 2025.
2. It is submitted that though on the said day an accommodation has been prayed for to file relevant documents, however, on scrutiny of the file it appears that those documents are on record.
3. In view of such, it is submitted on behalf of the appellants that the present appellants do not want to file any further documents in connection with the instant appeal.
4. In his next limb of submission, learned advocate for the appellants contended that for some reason or other the server copy of the order dated

11th December, 2025, was not communicated to the respondent.

5. In view of such, we once again directed the appellants to cause fresh service upon the respondent along with the server copy of the order dated 11th December, 2025, and also this order by Speed Post and to file affidavit-of-service on the adjourned day.
6. Let this matter be listed for further consideration under the same heading on **February 10, 2026**.

(SUJOY PAUL, ACJ.)

(PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.)