

December 17, 2025

(4) ARDR

WPA 27942 of 2025

Amar Kumar Saha

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & ors.

Adv. Moyukh Mukherjee,

Adv. Sagnika Banerjee,

Adv. Sarmistha Basak,

...for the petitioner.

Adv. Tanmoy Kr. Ghosh,

Adv. Arijit Dey,

...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner and the report submitted by the State are taken on record.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the mode and manner of investigation held by the investigating authority.

It appears from the report that in course of investigation the Investigating officer has visited the company of the accused persons and has recorded the version of the issue stated by the manager and head clerk of the company. The report does not indicate whether the truth and veracity of such version have been ascertained. The complaint gives a different picture altogether. There has hardly been any progress in investigation though admittedly the petitioner has parted with an amount of Rs.2,41,50,000/- in favour of the accused. The money trail has not been tracked. No bank account appears to have been frozen.

The State is directed to submit a comprehensive report on the adjourned date demonstrating the details of progress in investigation.

Let the matter appear under the same heading on
14th January, 2026.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)