

17.03.2026
Sl. No.18
Ct. No.14
ss

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

**CPAN 1899 of 2025
in
W.P.A. No. 20149 of 2024**

**M/s. Ajmeri Enterprise
Versus
Pinaki Debnath,
The Block Development Officer,
Islampur Block and Executive Officer, Islampur
Panchayat Samity, Uttar Dinajpur & anr.**

Mr. Habibur Rahman
Md. Ali Ahasan

... for the applicant-petitioner

1. Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the applicant-petitioner is taken on record.
2. It is found that the copy of the contempt application has been served upon the alleged contemnor no.2.
3. Earlier, service was effected upon the alleged contemnor no.1, Pinaki Debnath on 22nd December, 2025.
4. Despite service none appears on behalf of the alleged contemnors.
5. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the order passed by this Court has not been complied with.
6. Considering the above, let rule of contempt be issued against the alleged contemnors.
7. Rule is made returnable on **20th April, 2026 at 2 p.m.**

8. It is needless to say, that the pendency of this Rule shall not preclude the alleged contemnors-respondents to comply with the order dated 5th April, 2024.

Rule drawn in
separate sheet

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)