

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA No.26917 of 2025

Prabir Midya & Anr.
-vs-
State of West Bengal & Ors.
With
CAN 6 of 2025
CAN 18 of 2025
CAN 19 of 2025
CAN 20 of 2026

Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Baibhav Roy
... For the Petitioners

Mr. Jahar Lal De, AGP
Mr. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay, Sr. SC
Mr. Rudranil De
... For the State

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh
... For the WBCSSC

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharya
Mr. Bibek Dutta
... For the WBBSE

Mr. Kallol Basu
Mr. Atreya Chakraborty
... For the added respondents (CAN 1/2025)

Mr. Subir Sanyal, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Arindam Moitra
Mr. Ratul Biswas
Mr. Ratul Das
Mr. Sourojit Mukherjee
... For the added respondents (CAN 2/2025)

Mr. Prahlad Chandra Ghosh
Mr. Subir Hazra
... For the added respondents (CAN 3/2025)

Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury
Ms. Shreyeta Mitra
Ms. Pritha Biswas
... For the added respondents (CAN 4/2025)

Mr. Ujjal Ray
 Mr. Atreya Chakraborty
 ... For the added respondents
 (CAN 5/2025 & CAN 11/2025)

Mr. Shuvro Prakash Lahiri
 Mr. Rajesh Naskar
 Mr. Ankan Mondal
 ... For the added respondents
 (CAN 7/2025 & CAN 9/2025)

Mr. Soumavo Mukherjee
 Ms. Saswati Pahari
 ... For the respondent Nos.152-155
 (CAN 8/2025)

Mr. Ali Ahsan Alamgir
 Ms. Soma Mal
 Ms. June Modak
 ... For the added respondents (CAN 10/2025)

Mr. Ashis Kumar Chowdhury
 Mr. Babhru Bahan Bera
 ... For the added respondents (CAN 12/2025)

Mr. Ashis Kumar Chowdhury
 Mr. Sudip Jana
 ... For the added respondents (CAN 13/2025)

Mr. Chinmoy Mondal (in person)
 ... Added respondent (CAN 14/2025)

Mr. Pritam Choudhury
 Mr. Sagnik Roy Chowdhury
 Ms. Swati Jha
 ... For the added respondents (CAN 15/2025)

Mr. Pratik Dhar, Sr. Advocate (vc)
 Mr. Sakhawati Khandakar
 Mr. Samir Halder
 Mr. Sagnik Roy Choudhury
 ... For the added respondents (CAN 16/2025)

Mr. Soumitra Deb
 Mr. Sougata Banerjee
 ... For the added respondents (CAN 17/2025)

Mr. Uday Sankar Chattopadhyay
 Mr. Pronay Basak
 Ms. Bidisha Chakraborty
 Ms. Sadia Parveen
 ... For the applicants (CAN 18/2025)

Mr. Subir Sanyal, Sr. Advocate
 Mr. Arindam Maitra
 Mr. Ratul Das

Mr. Sourojit Mukherjee
... For the applicants (CAN 19/2025)

Mr. Puspall Chakraborty
Mr. Samrat Dey Paul
... for the applicants (CAN 20/2026)

1. The following documents filed in Court today be retained with the records.
 - a) Affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission and reply thereto by the petitioners.
 - b) Affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the added respondents in CAN 1 of 2025.
 - c) Affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the added respondents in CAN 4 of 2025.
 - d) Affidavit-in-opposition in two volumes filed on behalf of the added respondents in CAN 11 of 2025 and the reply thereto by the petitioners.
 - e) Affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the respondent nos.152 to 155 (CAN 8 of 2025).
 - f) Affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the added respondents in CAN 12 of 2025 and CAN 13 of 2025.
 - g) Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the petitioners against the affidavit-in-opposition filed by the added respondents in respect of CAN 17 of 2025.
2. The applicants in some of the connected applications seek extension of time for filing affidavit-in-opposition.
3. Let affidavit-in-opposition by the applicants be prepared and circulated within two weeks from date. Reply, if any, by the petitioners be filed within a week thereafter.

4. CAN 2 of 2025 stood allowed by the Court vide order dated 10th December, 2025, but inadvertently the appearance of the learned advocates representing CAN 2 of 2025 were not recorded in the said order.
5. Be it recorded that Mr. Subir Sanyal, learned Senior Advocate, Mr. Arindam Moitra, Mr. Ratul Biswas, and Mr. Sourojit Mukherjee represented the applicants in CAN 2 of 2025 before the Court on 10th December, 2025.
6. The order dated 10th December, 2025 is corrected accordingly.
7. Learned advocate for the petitioners has drawn attention of the Court to paragraph no.9 of the order dated 27th November, 2025. It has been submitted that the direction passed in the said paragraph has not been complied with, till date.
8. Learned advocate for the Commission submits that an application for modification of the said direction has been filed, being CAN 6 of 2025.
9. Paragraph 9 of the order dated 27th November, 2025 specifically mentions that the OMR sheets of the candidates shall be brought in the public domain solely for the purpose of maintaining transparency, fairness and to avoid frivolous litigations.
10. Similar direction for publication of OMR sheets was passed by the Court in respect of 1st State Level Selection Test, 2016 recruitment process. The very purpose of publication is to maintain absolute transparency, fairness and sanctity in the recruitment process. By this way several frivolous litigations may be avoided and corruption may be ruled out.
11. The Commission is directed to publish the OMR sheets subject to the order passed in the pending application, being CAN 6 of 2025.

12. Relist the matter for hearing on 13th February, 2026.

**In re: CAN 18 of 2025, CAN 19 of 2025
& CAN 20 of 2026**

13. The aforesaid three applications seeking addition as party respondents have been filed.
14. As any order passed in the instant writ petition may affect the right of the applicants, accordingly, all the applications are hereby allowed.
15. Accordingly, the applications, being CAN 18 of 2025, CAN 19 of 2025 and CAN 20 of 2026 stand disposed of.
16. The applicants shall be formally added as respondents in the instant writ petition.
17. The department is directed to make out necessary amendment in the cause title of the writ petition.
18. Let copy of the interlocutory applications be served upon the petitioners and the respondents, if not already served.
19. It will be open for the added respondents to file their respective pleadings within two weeks from date. Reply, if any, may be filed prior to the next date of hearing.
20. All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
21. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon compliance of necessary formalities.

[Amrita Sinha, J]

