

11.03.2026
Ct. No. 30
S.L. No. 42
SM

CO 3934 of 2024
With
IA No.: CAN 2 of 2026
Premal Sen & Anr.
Versus
Ranjit Sen & Ors.

Mr. Jishnu Saha
Mr. Prantik Garai
Mr. Sayak Chakraborty
Mr. Ishan Saha
Ms. Richa Raman

.....for the petitioners

1. The revisional application has been preferred challenging an order dated June 25, 2024 passed by the learned Civil Judge (sr. Divn.), 4th Court at Alipore, South 24 Parganas in Title Suit No. 707 of 2018 (Mak Engineering Industries Limited Versus Premal Sen & Anr.)
2. Vide the impugned order the learned Trial Court had allowed the application filed by a third party on the finding that the said party is necessary to the suit.
3. Learned Trial Court has given specific reasons for permitting the said party to be added.
4. Being aggrieved the plaintiff/petitioner has preferred the present revisional application **without adding the said third party as a party to the revisional application.**
5. It appears that CAN 2 of 2026 has been filed by the said Ranjit Sen, intervener/opposite party herein, praying for being added as a party to the revisional

application preferred by the respondent petitioner herein praying for expeditious disposal of the revisional application and also stay of the suit.

6. None appears for the opposite party today.

7. CAN application be kept with the record.

8. **Let the matter be fixed for hearing of the revisional application on merit and the necessary orders on 30th March, 2026.**

9. Vide the order dated 18.02.2025 in this writ application, the Court directed as follows:-

“Upon hearing of learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the fact that the petitioner has made out an arguable case which needs adjudication on merit, let the order dated 25th June, 2024 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), 4th Court, Alipore, South 24 Parganas in Title Suit No. 707 of 2018 be stayed for a period of eight weeks or until further order whichever is earlier.”

10. Accordingly, the trial Court is at liberty to proceed with the suit including hearing of the injunction application.

11. Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties on priority basis upon compliance of all formalities.

[Shampa Dutt (Paul). J]