

22
19.12.2022
sb
Ct 550

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 26800 of 2022

Sanat Roy
Vs.
The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner & Ors.

Mr. R. Guha Thakurta
Ms. Senjuti Sengupta
Ms. Dipa Roy
... For the petitioner.

Mr. Satyendra Agarwal
Mr. Bijoy Bag
... For the respondent no.1.

Ms. Amrita Pandey
Mr. Ghanshyam Pandey
Ms. Sneha Singh
Ms. Shikha Kashyap
... For the respondent nos. 2 and 3.

The present writ application has been filed, *inter alia*, for a direction upon the respondents to ensure release of the entire amount of provident fund, pension and for payment of interest for delayed payment of the retiral benefits.

The petitioner has joined the service of the respondent no.3 on 5th November, 1983 and was superannuated from services on 1st September, 2021.

Mr. Guha Thakurta, learned advocate representing the petitioner submits that the respondents were obliged to make payment of the retiral benefits of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from his retirement, but the respondent no.3 has taken no steps in this regard. It is

still further submitted that no pension payment order has also been issued in favour of the petitioner by the respondent no.1.

Mr. Agarwal, learned advocate representing the respondent no.1 prays for an accommodation.

Ms. Pandey, learned advocate representing the respondent nos. 2 and 3 also seeks time to obtain appropriate instruction in the matter.

In view thereof, let this matter stand adjourned and appear in the list under the same heading on 13th January, 2023.

The respondent no.2, is directed to produce all the particulars in relation to provident fund accumulations of the petitioners and in the event if any amount is found due and payable to the petitioner, the respondent no.2 shall place before this Court a cheque drawn in the name of the petitioner, for such amount as may be found due, on the returnable date.

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)