

January 6, 2026
(13) ARDR

WPA 25835 of 2023
CAN 1 of 2025

Shambhu Nath Karikar
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Adv. Sourav Mondal,
... for the petitioner.
Adv. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh,
Adv. Labanio Sikder,
Adv. Sourik Dey,
....for the intervenor.
Sr. Adv. Tapan Kumar Mukherjee,
Adv. Sangeeta Roy,
Adv. Somnath Naskar,
...for the State.

Re : CAN 1 of 2025

The applicants seek to be added as party respondents in the writ petition on the ground that they are also victims of the alleged fraud and stand on the same footing as the petitioner.

The petitioner does not deny the said fact.

The application being CAN 1 of 2025 is allowed on consent. The applicants be added as proforma respondents in the writ petition. The cause title of the writ petition be amended accordingly.

Re : WPA 25835 of 2023

Report submitted by the State is taken on record.

The petitioner and the proforma respondents seek reinvestigation of the case and addition of appropriate provisions of the Information Technology Act and the West Bengal Protection of Interest of the Depositors in Financial Establishments Act.

This Court is informed that the charge sheet has been submitted under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code. In order to deal with the prayer of the petitioner and the proforma respondents the Case Diary needs to be looked into.

The State shall produce the Case Diary on the adjourned date.

Let the matter appear under the same heading on 15th January, 2026.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)