

23.02.2026
Ct. No. 22
Sl. No.32
SG

In the High Court at Calcutta
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

C. R. R. 4411 of 2022

In Re: ***Md. Obaidullah.***

... Petitioner

Ms. Sreyashee Biswas,
Ms. PushpitaSaha.

...for the State

ORDER

The Court:

1. This matter is taken up for consideration.
2. None appears to represent the petitioner today. A perusal of the order sheet reflects that the petitioner has remained unrepresented on several earlier occasions as well.
3. On the previous date of hearing, the Learned Advocate for the State was requested to communicate with Mr. Kalidas Saha, Learned Advocate, who had last represented the petitioner on **February 14, 2025**, to intimate that the matter would be taken up today for hearing.
4. Learned Advocate for the State, upon making necessary enquiries, informs this Court today that the erstwhile Learned Advocates for the petitioner have already issued their "**No Objection**" and are no longer engaged to represent the petitioner in the instant proceeding.
5. In view of the fact that the petitioner is currently unrepresented and the earlier engagement of his counsel has been terminated, this Court is of the opinion that, in

the interest of justice and to afford the petitioner a final opportunity to prosecute his case, a direct notice must be issued.

6. Accordingly, the Department is directed to serve an **Administrative Notice** directly upon the petitioner, informing him of the next date of hearing, which is fixed on **March 20, 2026**. The petitioner is directed to take necessary steps for legal representation or appear in person by the said adjourned date.
7. The Department shall file a report regarding the service of the said administrative notice on or before the next date.
8. List this matter on **March 20, 2026**

(Uday Kumar, J.)