



09.01.2026

Sl. No.: 12
Court No.9
BM

C.O 3807 of 2024

+

IA No.: CAN 1 OF 2024

+

IA NO.: CAN 2 OF 2025

+

IA NO.: CAN 3 OF 2025,

+

IA NO.: CAN 4 OF 2025,

+

IA NO.: CAN 5 OF 2025,

+

IA NO.: CAN 6 OF 2025,

+

IA NO.: CAN 7 OF 2026,

+

IA NO.: CAN 8 OF 2026

SHOUMIK DE

VS

UTSAV DEY AND ANR

Mr. Anupam Bhattacharya

Mr. Sudhakar Thakur

Mr. A. R. Hafiz

... for the petitioner

Mr. Krishna Das Poddar

Ms. Madira Barman

... for the opposite party

Learned advocates for the parties are present.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner has almost concluded his submission and he is requested to conclude the same on the next date so that the Learned Advocate for the opposite parties can conclude his part.

Let this matter appear on 15.01.2026 at 12 PM.

The interim order granted earlier stands extended for a period of four weeks or till further order whichever is earlier.



However, pendency of this revisional application will not prevent the opposite parties to file affidavit of objection before the learned trial court with regard to injunction application. The copy of the application as well as copy of the memo of appeal before the appellate court, if not served, shall be served upon the opposite parties in the meantime.

(Biswaroop Chowdhury, J.)