

31.03.2026
Court No.42
Item No.58
Sudipta

CRR 4907 of 2025

With

CRAN 1 of 2025

Rajonya Mukherjee (Ghosal)

Vs.

Arka Mukherjee & Anr.

Mr. Bidhayak Lahiri

Ms. Bhaswati Lahiri

... for the petitioner.

Ms. Manaswita Mukherjee

... for the opposite party no.1.

In Re : CRAN 1 of 2025

1. Affidavit of service filed. Let it be taken on record.
2. Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 1 has opposed the application for condonation of delay on the ground that the delay has not been sufficiently explained.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner on the other hand submits that the delay has sufficiently been explained.
4. The Court has considered the submissions. Though the petitioner is liable to explain each day delay to the satisfaction of the Court. However, it has repeatedly been held that such discretion has to be exercised liberally so that the matter can be adjudicated finally on merits.
5. Taking into account the facts and circumstances, the delay is condoned.
6. CRAN 1 of 2025 stands disposed of.

In Re : CRR 4907 of 2025

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to file supplementary affidavit.
2. Let the same be filed with a copy to the opposite party.
3. Opposite party may also file affidavit-in-opposition with a copy to the petitioner within two weeks. Reply, if any, be filed within one week thereafter.
4. Let the matter appear in the Monthly List of June, 2026.

(Dinesh Kumar Sharma, J.)