

31.03.2026
Ct. No. 30
S.L. No. 38
SM

CO 3842 of 2023
Shri Tapan Samanta
Versus
Smt. Amita Jana Samanta

Mr. Aditya Sen

.....for the petitioner

- 1.** The revisional application moved for the first time is required to be heard in presence of the opposite party, considering that the revision has been preferred against an order under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act.
- 2.** Affidavit-of-service filed shows that the service could not be effected and as such a fresh service be attempted by the petitioner herein and in case the opposite party is found absent at the address provided, the petitioner shall be at liberty to serve upon the learned counsel, who appeared for the opposite party in the Trial Court.
- 3.** Matter be listed in the **Monthly List of May, 2026.**

[Shampa Dutt (Paul). J]