

12.11.2025
(D/L 09)
Ct.-17
(Susanta/
Samar)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

W.P.A. 25457 of 2025

Rishiraj Das

-Vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Anujit Mukherjee,

Mr. Mihir Saha,

... for the Petitioner.

Mr. Srijib Chakraborty,

Ms. Rupsa Sreemani,

.... for the Respondent No. 8.

Mr. Sunit Kumar Roy,

.... for the NMC.

Mr. D. N. Maiti,

Mr. Asumdipta Santra,

.... For the Respondent Nos. 5 & 7.

Mr. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay,

Mr. Arka Kumar Nag,

Ms. Deboleena Ghosh,

.... For the WBMCC.

Mr. Swapan Dutta, Ld. G.P.

Mr. Dipankar Dasgupta,

.... For the State.

Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner be kept with the record.

The petitioner, being a successful candidate of the NEET-UG 2025 had participated in the counselling conducted by the Medical Counselling Committee, the respondent no. 3 herein for admission in medical courses in West Bengal.

In the third round of counselling, the petitioner was allotted Shri Ramkrishna Institute of Medical Science and Sanaka Hospital, Durgapur, the respondent no. 8 herein under unreserved category against the State quota but he was denied admission purportedly for his failure to furnish bank guarantee.

Mr. Anujit Mukherjee, learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, to get the admission in the allotted college, is obliged to furnish a bond not the bank guarantee.

Mr. Srijib Chakraborty, learned Counsel for the respondent no. 8 submits that the petitioner is required to furnish a bond to be executed before the 1st Class Magistrate but he did not do so.

Mr. Mukherjee produces a copy of the bond executed by the petitioner before the 1st Class Judicial Magistrate, Alipore, District- 24-Parganas (South) and submits that this bond would be submitted before the college.

Mr. Chakraborty, on verification and on instruction submits that the said bond would suffice the purpose.

The petitioner is directed to approach the respondent nos. 3 and 8 with said original bond to complete the admission process. The respondent no. 8, upon production of the said original bond and upon compliance with other formalities, shall admit the petitioner and thereafter the respondent no. 3 shall regularize the said admission.

The respondent no. 3, for the admission of the petitioner, is directed to block the seat allotted to him.

W.P.A. 25457 of 2025 is disposed of with the above terms without any order as to costs.

Parties to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Biswajit Basu, J.)